



LAWRENCE
LIVERMORE
NATIONAL
LABORATORY

Facilitation of Third-party Development of Advanced Algorithms for Explosive Detection Using Workshops and Grand Challenges

C. Crawford, D. Castanon, J. Beaty, H. E. Martz

August 25, 2010

IEEE

Boston, MA, United States

November 8, 2010 through November 10, 2010

Disclaimer

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government nor Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, nor any of their employees makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or Lawrence Livermore National Security, LLC, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

Facilitation of Third-party Development of Advanced Algorithms for Explosive Detection Using Workshops and Grand Challenges

IM 435445
LLNL-CONF-451111

Carl Crawford

Awareness and Localization of Explosives-Related Threats
Northeastern University
Boston, USA
crawford.carl@csuptwo.com

John Beaty

Awareness and Localization of Explosives-Related Threats
Northeastern University
Boston, USA
jbeaty@ece.neu.edu

David Castañón

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering
Boston University
Boston, USA
dac@bu.edu

Harry Martz

Department of Nondestructive Testing and Evaluation
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
Livermore, USA
martz2@llnl.gov

Abstract— The US Department of Homeland Security (DHS) has requirements for future explosives scanners that include dealing with a larger number of threats, higher probability of detection, lower false alarm rates and lower operating costs. One tactic that DHS is pursuing to achieve these requirements is to augment the capabilities of the established security vendors with third-party algorithm developers. The purposes of this presentation are to review DHS's objectives for involving third parties in the development of advanced algorithms and then to discuss how these objectives are achieved using workshops and grand challenges.

Keywords—explosives, detection, aviation, security

I. INTRODUCTION

The US Department of Homeland Security (DHS) has requirements for future explosives scanners that include dealing with a larger number of threats, higher probability of detection, lower false alarm rates and lower operating costs. One tactic that DHS is pursuing to achieve these requirements is to augment the capabilities of the established security vendors with third-party algorithm developers. A third-party in this context refers to academics, subject matter experts, national laboratories, small companies and organizations other than the established vendors. DHS is particularly interested in adopting the model that has been used very successfully by the medical imaging industry, in which university researchers develop algorithms that are eventually deployed in commercial medical imaging equipment.

One tactic that DHS is using is to sponsor workshops addressing the research opportunities that may enable the development of next-generation algorithms. The first workshop, entitled "Algorithm Development for Security

Applications (ADSA) Workshop," was held at Northeastern University (NEU) in conjunction with the DHS Center of Excellence for Awareness and Localization of Explosives-Related Threats (ALERT). A second follow on workshop was held at NEU to discuss the efforts necessary to continue investigation and development of third-party algorithms.

The main recommendation of the first workshop was to establish grand challenges for different aspects of threat detection and different screening modalities [1]. The aspects of threat detection include reconstruction and processing of sensor data, image segmentation, automated threat detection and improved operator performance. The screening modalities include x-ray computerized tomography (CT) for checked and carry-on baggage, advanced imaging technology, cargo inspection, and stand-off detection.

It was further recommended at the first workshop that the first grand challenge should develop advanced segmentation algorithms from volumetric CT data for the purpose of enhancing automated threat recognition (ATR) algorithms for CT-based scanners. The details of implementing this challenge were discussed at the second workshop [2]. The first phase will entail development, coordination and distribution of data sets, sensor descriptions and acceptance criteria to researchers. These materials will be carefully screened and managed to prevent unintended release of sensitive, proprietary or classified information. The data sets will consist of images of scans of baggage containing known objects. In the second phase, researchers will develop algorithms to segment the objects in the data sets and report their results. The algorithms will be independently graded by ALERT and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory on other data sets, which will not be provided to the researchers.

A second conclusion from the first workshop was to hold subsequent grand challenges for advanced reconstruction algorithms for CT-based equipment and different aspects of other modalities such as multi-view line scanners (known as advanced technology) and advanced imaging technology, which is also known as whole body imaging.

II. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Homeland Security under Award Number

2008-ST-061-ED0001. The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security

REFERENCES

- [1] ftp://ftp.censsis.neu.edu/ADSA/ADSA01_final_report.pdf.
- [2] ftp://ftp.censsis.neu.edu/ADSA02/ADSA02_final_report.pdf.

This work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344.